

REMARKS

Applicant greatly appreciates the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter in old claims 8 and 10-12.

Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's rejection. For example, Applicant's undersigned attorney could not locate any teaching, disclosure or suggestion in Ravi that "the first semiconductor material is a grained polysilicon" as stated by the Examiner (comment 6).


Nevertheless, to facilitate allowance, Applicant amended claim 5 to include the limitation of claim 8 (which is cancelled). Thus, Applicant believes claim 5 (as amended) is allowable.

None of the art cited, whether applied singly or in combination, teaches, discloses or suggests the combination of features as set forth in claim 5 (as amended).

Within two (2) weeks, Applicant intends to file a Supplemental Amendment to enhance the claim set, a Supplemental IDS, and to review the specification per Examiner's comment 3.

Accordingly, entry of this amendment is solicited.

Respectfully Submitted,
Bruce B. Doris et al.

By:  27 April 2006
Joseph P. Abate
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 30,238
Telephone No. 845-894-4633